Research Supports the Intervention Strategies of the Blueprint for Safety

Updated October 2014. An asterisk following the entry indicates a citation added since the Blueprint was initially published in 2010.

1. Coordinated work across and within agencies increases protection

- "The core tenet of most coordinated criminal justice responses [is] the belief that a criminal
 justice system that predictably and routinely entangles offenders in multiple ways improves the
 odds that any given offender will encounter a response that may alter his behavior." (Worden,
 2003, p. 14)
- A number of studies found that a coordinated intervention in domestic violence cases could have a positive, even cumulative, effect on the behavior of the offender (Murphy, et al., 1998, pp. 278-279; Saunders, 2008, p. 165; Syers and Edleson, 1992, p. 484; Tolman and Weisz, 1995, p. 482; Worden, 2003, p. 13; 2001).
- Sullivan (2006, p. 205) reports an increased responsiveness to victims and improved interagency interactions through a CCR.
- "One large scale study of women in the justice system found that the more battered women
 perceived different agencies as working together, the more highly they rated them in terms of
 helpfulness and effectiveness and the more satisfied they were both with the legal system in
 general and with their own individual case outcomes in particular." (Goodman and Epstein,
 2008, p. 85)
- Russell and Light (2006) found that victims responded well to police when officers were proactive and part of an integrated team.
- Sullivan (2006, p. 205) says "strong leadership, a shared mission, shared power, and a membership extending across more fields" are needed to accomplish goals.
- CCR-related qualities and activities were correlated with higher rates of victim contact with
 intimate partner violence services in CCR communities when compared to communities without
 this intervention. These qualities and activities included: developing goals based on community
 needs, selecting priorities based on the salience of the need in the community, efforts to
 coordinate services, and disseminating information on the frequency of intimate partner
 violence in the community. (Klevens, et al., 2008)*
- Zweig and Burt (2006) found that women's perceptions of whether community agencies were working together to assist her and her case significantly and positively related to arrests in domestic violence and sexual assault cases and to convictions in domestic violence cases.

BP PPT Handout - Research Page 1 of 8

- Perceptions that agencies were working together also increased women's beliefs that law enforcement and prosecution are effective agencies.*
- A study of 48 different domestic violence community collaborations (Nowell, 2009) suggests
 that the presence of stakeholders who are perceived to be out of sync by other stakeholders
 with regards to their understanding of domestic violence, but do not acknowledge this
 apparent disconnect, can negatively impact the effectiveness of the collaborative. In other
 words, a CCR-type entity is more effective when members have a shared understanding of
 domestic violence.*

2. Outcomes improve when the system treats a domestic violence case as part of ongoing pattern of criminal activity vs. a single event

- Websdale (1999) reminds us that homicides are often preceded by multiple criminal justice interventions.
- In the Quincy study, Buzawa et al. (1998, p. 189) found about half of the offenders had prior arrests for violent offenses and within two years of the last criminal justice intervention, 44% of the offenders were rearrested for domestic violence.
- Hart notes that between the arrest and prosecution, 30% of offenders may re-assault (Goldsmith, 1991, p. 7) and as many as half of domestic violence victims may be threatened with retaliation for cooperation with prosecutors (Davis, et al., 1990, p. 19).
- Batterers can reoffend quickly. Goodman and Epstein (2008, p. 75) say that "20% to 30% of arrested offenders re-assault their partners before the court process has concluded or shortly afterward, often as retaliation for involving them in the court system."
- In another study, 14% of the victims reported threats from the perpetrator since disposition of their case, 8% had property damaged, 9% experienced new violence, and 37% of perpetrators had been verbally abusive. (Smith, et al., 2001, p. 72)
- Offenders with a 'stake in conformity' (employed, married, stable housing) are least likely to reoffend after interaction with the justice system. (Roehl, et al., 2005, p. 14) However, the high-risk offender with a criminal history tends not to change their behavior with criminal justice intervention. "For high risk offenders, even a 'model' court has not broken their pattern of intimidation and control and the interventions they have used to date are insufficient. Stopping chronic and/or serial batterers is apt to be a long, difficult process, not easily impacted by any one criminal justice intervention, especially one that is fundamentally compromised by long prosecutorial and judicial delays and restricted to misdemeanor type sentences." (Hotaling and Buzawa, 2003, p. 26)
- From their study of batterers in four cities, Heckert and Gondolf concluded that "men in the repeat re-assault category were slightly more likely to use a chain of tactics, or multiple tactics,

BP PPT Handout - Research Page 2 of 8

- in their violent incidents. That is, their violence was more likely to be excessive and unrelenting." (2004, p. III-15-8)
- Buzawa et al. (1998, pp. 205 and 198) found that courts are most likely to see entrenched batterers who have had prior involvement with the system and less likely to see those batterers who use occasional violence and have no criminal record. They suggest that the level and conditions of an intervention could be linked to risk markers made visible for each offender.
- Stark (2007, p. 94) points out that the harm in domestic violence is not only due to the number of violent events, but to an accumulation of multiple harms. It is the cumulative effect, rather than a set of isolated acts that affect the victim of battering.
- Erskine (1999, pp. 1207-1232) discusses the importance of exploring ongoing patterns of intimidation and coercion to determine appropriate charges for a range of criminal or violent behaviors.

3. Clear and consistent messages of offender accountability and victim safety can reduce violence

- Worden suggests that "the efficacy of many innovations [in intervention] may be contingent on the consistency of the messages that are exchanged among the victims, offenders, and practitioners" (2003, p. 10).
- Interactions with the police create an important baseline for the victim's level of trust in the
 rest of system. Belknap and Sullivan (2003) found that whether victims believed the state was a
 resource for their help seeking was based on positive interaction with an officer who listened
 without judgment and communicated empathy. Victims saw police as helpful when they
 provided legal information, advocacy support, attended to medical care, and paid attention to
 the needs of the children.
- Goodman and Epstein (2008, p. 78) note, "Other research has shown that women who
 experience government officials as listening to their stories and responding to their individual
 needs are more likely to feel treated fairly and therefore to cooperate with the prosecutor's
 requests than are women who feel forced into a mandatory model dismissive of their input."
- Researchers at Texas Women's University (2003) designed a one-hour phone contact for use
 with the victim during the processing of a protection order. Their study demonstrated that
 "abused women offered a safety intervention at the time of applying for a protection order
 quickly adopt safety behaviors and continued to practice those safety behaviors for eighteen
 months" (p.8).
- Practitioners can support a victim's safety planning by providing tactical information about the legal process, legal options, appropriate referrals, and specific communication about the risk of severe violence and lethality. (Johnson, 2007; Kropp, 2008, p. 213)

BP PPT Handout - Research Page 3 of 8

- In his observation of courtroom interactions in domestic violence cases, Ptacek (1999, pp. 172-178) studied how the interaction between judges, victims, and offenders can support or deter the battering dynamic. He points out that the behaviors demonstrated in the courtroom can (intentionally or not) become another resource the perpetrator can use for intimidation or coercion in the future. To that extent, a victim's experience of the criminal justice intervention can reaffirm the perpetrator's messages. Ptacek created a graphic titled "Judicial Responses that Reinforce Women's Entrapment" to describe some of the behaviors he observed. To demonstrate the potential parallels that victims may find in criminal justice interventions, he lays the judge's behaviors alongside behaviors used by perpetrators. Ptacek's graphic is available in a report by Levey, et al. (2000, p. Appendix I-2).
- Robinson and Tregidga (2007) found that taking a holistic multiagency approach to domestic violence can reduce recidivism, even among the population most at risk. The research was conducted with very high-risk victims of domestic violence to determine levels of victimization one year after being referred to a Multi-Agency Risk Assessment Conference (MARAC) and their perceptions of this type of intervention. Nearly all victims acknowledged the importance of having multiagency support once they were ready to change their situations.*
- One of the first studies to examine community-based outreach in the context of an
 interdisciplinary community coordinated response to police-reported intimate partner violence
 found that community-based outreach by victim advocates results in decreased distress levels,
 greater readiness to leave abusive relationships, and greater perceived helpfulness of services
 relative to system-based referrals. (DePrince, et al., 2012a)*
- A randomized longitudinal study found that an outreach program was effective in increasing women's engagement with prosecution, as well as the likelihood of their participating in the prosecution of their abusers. Results were particularly robust among women marginalized by ethnicity and class, and those still living with their abusers after the target incident. (DePrince, et al., 2012b)*

4. Sure and swift consequences for offenders reduce recidivism and the severity of future abuse

- Gondolf (2004) noted a reduction in no-shows and improved completion rates of batterer intervention programs when offenders moved through the system quickly. When intervention was swift and certain, the rate of offenders entering the groups increased from 70% to 95% and the completion rate rose to 70% (p. 619). Gondolf linked the effectiveness of batterer programs to a streamlined system where violations were treated with a 'swift and certain' response, offenders identified as high risk received increased sanctions, and risk markers were monitored throughout the intervention (see discussion on page 624).
- Two additional studies by Gondolf (2000; 1999) verify the impact of swift and sure response for domestic violence offenders.

BP PPT Handout - Research Page 4 of 8

- In domestic violence cases, the specific language of swift and sure is not commonly used, but several authors do recommend aggressive or prompt response to violations of court orders. (Buzawa et al., 2000; Hofford, 1991, pp. 12-17) One of the four lessons reported from the Judicial Oversight Demonstration project was the importance of "procedures to monitor or educate defendants and provide a quick court response to violations of no-contact orders and other bond conditions." (Visher et al., 2007, p. 9)
- To review a theoretical frame for choice theory and negative sanctions see Pratt (2008) and Kurbrin, et al. (2009).
- A research study conducted by Weisburd, et al. (2008) demonstrated the impact of swift and certain responses to probation violators.
- Klein, et al. (2014) found that prosecution and sentencing of domestic violence cases can
 significantly reduce re-abuse for the majority of more chronic abusers who also commit non-DV
 crimes. The research suggests that what matters is the comparative severity of the domestic
 violence sentencing compared to non-DV sentencing, not the severity of the sentence itself.
 The researchers recommend that prosecutors and judges sanction crimes against person
 appropriately, namely more severely than typical non-DV crimes abusers may be arrested for.*

Bibliography

- 1. Belknap, J. & Sullivan, C. M. (2003). *Longitudinal study of battered women in the system: The victims' and decision-makers' perceptions*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice. Available: http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/202946.pdf
- 2. Buzawa, E., Hotaling, G., & Klein, A. (1998). The response to domestic violence in a model court: Some initial findings and implications. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 16, 185-206.
- 3. Buzawa, E., Hotaling, G. T., Klein, A., & Byrne, J. (2000). *Response to domestic violence in a proactive court setting: Final Report*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice. Available: http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/181428.pdf
- 4. Davis, R., Smith, B., & Henley, S. (1990). Victim- witness intimidation in the Bronx courts. New York: Victims Services Agency. Available: http://www.popcenter.org/problems/witnessintimidation/PDFs/Davis et al 1990.pdf
- 5. DePrince, A.P., Labus, J., Belknap, J., Buckingham, S., Gover, A. (2012a). The impact of community-based outreach on psychological distress and victim safety in women exposed to intimate partner abuse, *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 80:2, 211–221.
- 6. DePrince, A.P., Labus, J., Belknap, J., Buckingham, S., Gover, A. (2012b). The Impact of Victim-Focused Outreach on Criminal Legal System Outcomes Following Police-reported Intimate Partner Abuse. *Violence Against Women*, 18:8, 861-881.

BP PPT Handout - Research Page 5 of 8

- 7. Erskine, J. (1999). If it quacks like a duck: Recharacterizing domestic violence as criminal coercion. 65 Brooklyn Law Review, 1207-1232.
- 8. Goldsmith S. (1991). Taking spouse abuse beyond a 'family affair.' *Law Enforcement News*, 17(334), 7.
- 9. Gondolf, E. (1999). A comparison of reassault rates in four batterer programs: Do court referral, program length and services matter? *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 14, 41-61.
- 10. Gondolf, E. (2000). Mandatory court review and batterer program compliance. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 15(4), 428-437.
- 11. Gondolf, E. (2004). Evaluating batterer counseling programs: A difficult task showing some effects and implications. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 9, 605-631.
- 12. Goodman, L. & Epstein, D. (2008). Listening to battered women: A survivor-centered approach to advocacy, mental health, and justice. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- 13. Heckert, D. A. & Gondolf, E. W. (2004). *Predicting abuse and reassault among batterer program participants*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice. Available: http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/199730.pdf
- 14. Hofford, M. (1991). Family violence: Challenging cases for probation officers. *Federal Probation*, 55(3), 12-17.
- 15. Hotaling, G. T. & Buzawa, E. (2003). *Victim satisfaction with criminal justice case processing in a model court setting.* Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice. Available: http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/195668.pdf
- 16. Johnson, I. M. (2007). Victims' perceptions of police response to domestic violence incidents. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 35(5), 498-510.
- 17. Klein, A., Centerbar, D., Keller, S. and Klein, J. (2014). *The Impact of Differential Sentencing Severity for Domestic Violence and All Other Offenses over Abusers' Life Spans*. Report to National Institute of Justice, U.S. Department of Justice, NCJ 244757. Available: https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/244757.pdf
- 18. Klevens, J., Baker, C.K., Shelley, G.A., Ingram, E.M., (2008). Exploring the Links Between Components of Coordinated Community Responses and Their Impact on Contact With Intimate Partner Violence Services. *Violence Against Women*, 14:3, 346-358.
- 19. Kropp, P. R. (2008). Intimate partner violence risk assessment and management. *Violence and Victims*, 23(2), 202-220.
- 20. Kurbrin, C. E., Stucky, T. D., & Krohn, M. D. (2009). *Researching theories of crime and deviance*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 21. Levey, L. S., Steketee, M. W., & Keilitz, S. L. (2000). *Implementing an integrated domestic violence court: Systemic change in the District of Columbia*. National Center for State Courts: State Justice Institute. Retrieved from http://contentdm. ncsconline.org/cgi-in/showfile.exe?CISOROOT=/famct&CISOPTR=70

BP PPT Handout - Research Page 6 of 8

- 22. Murphy, C. M., Musser, P. H., & Maton, K. I. (1998). Coordinated community intervention for domestic abusers: Intervention system involvement and criminal recidivism. *Journal of Family Violence*, 13(3), 263-284.
- 23. Nowell, B. (2009). Out of Sync and Unaware? Exploring the Effects of Problem Frame Alignment and Discordance in Community Collaboratives. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 20:91-116.
- 24. Pratt, T. C. (2008). Rational choice theory, crime control policy, and criminology relevance. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 7(1), 9-36.
- 25. Ptacek, J. (1999). Battered women in the courtroom: *The power of judicial responses*. Boston: Northeastern University Press.
- 26. Robinson, A.L. & Tregidga, J., (2007). The Perceptions of High-Risk Victims of Domestic Violence to a Coordinated Community Response in Cardiff, Wales. *Violence Against Women*, 13:1, pp1130-1148.
- 27. Roehl, J., O'Sullivan, C., Webster, D., & Campbell, J. (2005). *Intimate partner violence risk assessment validation study*. Washington, DC: National Institute for Justice. Available: http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/ nij/grants/209731.pdf
- 28. Russell, M. & Light, L. (2006). Police and victim perspectives on empowerment of domestic violence victims. *Police Quarterly*, 9(4), 375-396.
- 29. Saunders, D. G. (2008). Group interventions for men who batter: A summary of program descriptions and research. *Violence and Victims*, 23(2), 156-172.
- 30. Smith, B. E., Davis, R., Nickles, L. B., & Davies, H. J. (2001). *Evaluation of efforts to implement no-drop policies: Two central values in conflict, Final Report*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice. Available: http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/187772.pdf
- 31. Stark, E. (2007). Coercive control: *The entrapment of women in personal life*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 32. Sullivan, C. M. (2006). Intervention to address intimate partner violence: The current state of the field. In J. R. Lutzker (Ed.), *Prevention violence: Research and evidence-based intervention strategies* (pp. 195-212). Washington DC: American Psychological Association.
- 33. Syers, M. & Edleson, J. L. (1992). The combined effects of coordinated criminal justice intervention in woman abuse. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 7(4), 490-502.
- 34. Texas Women's University (2003). Increasing victim safety and system accountability: Evaluating a collaborative intervention between health care and criminal justice, Executive Summary.

 Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice. Available:

 http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/201945.pdf
- 35. Tolman, R. & Weisz, A. (1995). Coordinated community intervention for domestic violence: The effects of arrest and prosecution on recidivism of woman abuse perpetrators. *Crime and Delinquency*, 41(4), 481-495.
- 36. Visher, C. A., Harrell, A. V., & Newmark, L. C. (2007). Pre-trial innovations for domestic violence offenders and victims: Lessons from the Judicial Oversight Demonstration Initiative. *NIJ Research for Practice*. Retrieved from http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/216041.pdf

BP PPT Handout - Research Page 7 of 8

Office on Violence Against Women Adaptation Demonstration Initiative

- 37. Websdale, N. (1999). Understanding domestic homicide. Boston: Northeastern University Press.
- 38. Weisburd, D., Tinat, T., & Kowalski, M. (2008). The miracle of the cells: An experimental study of interventions to increase payment of court-ordered financial obligations. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 7(1), 9-36.
- 39. Worden, A. P. (2003). *Violence against women: Synthesis of research for task forces and coalition members*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice. Available: http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/ grants/199912.pdf
- 40. Zweig, J.M. & Burt, M.R., (2006). Predicting Case Outcomes and Women's Perceptions of the Legal System's Response to Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault. *Criminal Justice Policy Review*, 17:2, 202-233.

This project is supported by Grant No. 2010-TA-AX-K008 awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions and recommendations expressed in this document are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Justice.

BP PPT Handout - Research Page 8 of 8